

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

Elijah Cocks Choate, Hall & Stewart 53 State Street, Exchange Place Boston, MA 02109

FEB 1 3 2004

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

In re application of

Steven M. Cohn et al.

Application No. 09/903,257

Filed: July 11, 2001

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ESTABLISHING:

BUSINESS TO BUSINESS CONNECTIONS

VIA THE INTERNET

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF

ATTORNEY

This is a decision on the request filed on November 24, 2003 under 37 CFR 1.36 and MPEP 402.06, requesting permission to withdraw as the attorney of record in the above-identified application.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

Under 37 CFR 1.36 an attorney may withdraw only upon application to and approval by the Commissioner. It should be noted that a withdrawal is effective when approved, not when filed. Besides giving due notice to his or her client and delivering to the client all papers and property to which the client is entitled as specified under 37 CFR 10.40, approval of such a request requires that the following conditions be met:

- A) Each attorney of record must sign the notice of withdrawal, or the notice must contain a clear indication of one attorney signing on behalf of another, because the Office does not recognize law firms;
- B) A proper reason for the withdrawal as enumerated in 37 CFR 10.40(b) or subsection (1)-(6) of 37 CFR 10.40(c) must be provided; and
- C) If withdrawal is requested in accordance with 37 CFR 10.40(c) above, there must be at least 30 days between approval of the withdrawal and the later of the expiration date of a time period for reply or the expiration date of the period which can be obtained by a petition and fee for extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The request to withdraw as attorney in the above-identified application is not approved because the request does not comply with item B) above.

As to item B), there is no indication in the file record of CNET Networks, Inc. being the assignee ("client"). Absent a statement submitted in accordance with 37 CFR 3.73(b) providing documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owners to the assignee or a statement specifying where this evidence is recorded in the Office (e.g., reel and frame number), the "client" referred to in 37 CFR 10.40 is seen as being those individuals who conferred power upon the attorneys, in this case Newmediary, Inc. Therefore, the stated reason for withdrawal that the "client", CNET Networks, Inc., wishes to retain its own representation in prosecuting the application is improper because there is no evidence in the file record establishing CNET Networks, Inc. as the assignee/"client". A proper reason for withdrawal as enumerated in 37 CFR 10.40(b) subsections (1)-(4) or subsections (1)-(6) of 37 CFR 10.40(c) must be provided.

Randolph A. Reese

Special Programs Examiner Patent Technology Center 3600

(703) 308-2121

RAR/mjz: 2/11/04